## **MANDATE**

S.D.N.Y.-N.Y.C. 12-cv-4086 94-cr-466 Keenan, J.

## United States Court of Appeals

SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of July, two thousand thirteen.

Present:

Reena Raggi, Richard C. Wesley, *Circuit Judges*.\*

Franklin Grullon,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

United States of America,

 $Respondent \hbox{-} Appellee.$ 

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:

DATE FILED: September 18, 2013

13-1243

Appellant, *pro se*, moves for a certificate of appealability and an extension of time to file his motion for a certificate of appealability. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions are DENIED as unnecessary. Despite the Court's April 23, 2013 order, a certificate of appealability is not required for a federal prisoner to appeal from the denial of a petition for a writ of error *coram nobis*. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1). It is further ORDERED that the appeal is DISMISSED because it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. *See Pillay v. INS*, 45 F.3d 14, 17 (2d Cir. 1995) (finding that

<sup>\*</sup> The Honorable Debra Ann Livingston recused herself from consideration of this motion. Pursuant to Second Circuit Internal Operating Procedure E(b), the matter is being decided by the two remaining members of the panel.

this Court has the "inherent authority . . . to dismiss an appeal . . . as frivolous when the appeal . . . presents no arguably meritorious issue for [the Court's] consideration"); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989) (defining when an action lacks an arguable basis in law or fact).

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

SECOND

A True Copy

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit